UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. 25-Cr- 156 Y6R
Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Plaintiff, v.) Donald Yours) Defendant(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on 7/1/25, the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from 7/1/25 to 6/0/25 and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):	
Failure to grant a continuance would be li See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	kely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the prosect or law, that it is unreasonable to expect ac	to [check applicable reasons] the number of cution, or the existence of novel questions of fact dequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would deny taking into account the exercise of due di	y the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would unre counsel's other scheduled case commitmed See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	easonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given ents, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would unre necessary for effective preparation, taking See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	easonably deny the defendant the reasonable time g into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the court se paragraph and — based on the parties' sh the time limits for a preliminary hearing	king into account the public interest in the prompt at the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first lowing of good cause — finds good cause for extending under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for andictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
DATED:	all.
DAILD	Hon. Alex Go Tun United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
. Ittorio, for Dolonami	*************************************